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INTRODUCTION

The 2017 edition of the United States National Postconsumer Plastics Bottle Recycling Report is the 28th annual report on plastic bottle recycling. This study is a cooperative effort between the Plastics Division of the American Chemistry Council (ACC) and the Association of Plastic Recyclers (APR), the goal of which is to quantify the amount of high density polyethylene (HDPE) and polypropylene (PP) bottles collected for recycling, as well as the rate of recycling of those bottles. This study includes postconsumer recycling values and comments for polyethylene terephthalate (PET) developed by the National Association for PET Container Resources (NAPCOR) and APR. The reclaimer survey portion of the study, other than for PET, was conducted by More Recycling.

HIGHLIGHTS/SUMMARY FOR 2017

Plastic Bottle Pounds Collected and Processed for Recycling in the United States

- The total pounds of plastic bottles collected for recycling reached 2,800 million pounds in an exceedingly difficult year for plastic bottle recycling.
- The total plastic bottle recycling collection rate was 29.3%, a decrease of 0.4 percentage points compared to 2016.
- The total pounds of plastic bottles collected decreased by 106 million pounds for 2017 compared to 2016, with decreases for PET and HDPE and PP bottle resins. The annualized change in pounds of plastic bottles collected for recycling was -3.6%
- The five year compounded annual growth rate for plastic bottle recycling was 0.1%.
- PET bottles collected decreased by 27 million pounds for a total of 1,726 million pounds in 2017. The recycling collection rate rose from 28.4% in 2016 to 29.2% in 2017. The numerator, which refers to the total amount collected, dropped by 1.6% while the denominator, which refers to the total amount sold, dropped by 4.2%.
- Total recycled PET processed in the USA, including all sources, in 2017 was 1,606 million pounds, an increase of 80 million pounds over 2016.
- Compared to 2016, HDPE bottles collected fell by 70.3 million pounds to 1,041.8 million pounds. The HDPE bottle recycling collection rate dropped to 31.1% in 2017 compared to the 2016 rate of 33.4%. The numerator dropped while the denominator rose as both did in 2016.
- The total pounds of HDPE processed by USA reclaimers were 953 million pounds, down 31 million pounds from 2016.
- PP bottle recycling collection totaled 31.1 million pounds, a decrease of 15.2% over the 2016 total of 36.6 million pounds. The collection rate dropped to 17.2% in 2017 compared to 20.2% in 2016 with the numerator dropping and the denominator constant in 2017 compared to 2016.
- The total pounds of PP processed by USA reclaimers was 28.9 million pounds, down 0.8 million pounds from 2016.
- Exports of USA-collected HDPE bottle material fell from 193 million pounds in 2016 to 140 million pounds in 2017. The 140 million pounds represented 13.4% of the domestically collected material with approximately 54% of the exports leaving North America.
- Compared to 2016, imports of postconsumer HDPE to the United States fell to 50.7 million pounds in 2017.
Plastic Bottle Recycling Overview for 2017

The postconsumer plastic bottle recycling industry experienced a second difficult year in 2017 with less material processed.

The numerator of pounds of all bottles collected fell 106 million pounds or -3.6%, well below the three year running average bottle collection growth rate of -2.2% per year. Considering the denominator, light-weighting and ‘right-sizing’ of PET and HDPE bottles continued as has been the case for several years. More of the light-weighted containers were lost into the postconsumer paper stream at the sorting plants. Many consumer products are being sold in smaller bottles as household demographics change. The sales of PET for bottles decreased dramatically compared to 2016 sales while sales of HDPE for bottles increased very slightly. The total for all bottles in the marketplace decreased by 242 million pounds, or -2.5% which is below the three year running average bottle marketplace growth rate of 0.4%. 2017 was a negative year for total bottle usage, dropping to amounts used in 2012 levels, with a return to the per capita use of earlier in the decade.

Sales of virgin HDPE resin for bottles rose by 0.6% and sales of recycled HDPE resin from bottles fell by 6.3% compared to 2016 results. Sales of virgin PET resin for bottles fell by 4.2% and sales of recycled PET resin for fiber, sheet, and strapping uses rose while sales for food grade bottle uses fell in the same time frame.

Exports of all postconsumer plastic bottle bales continued the long-term trend downward with a decrease of 156.8 million pounds compared to 2016 and a fall to the lowest percentage for total exports in at least ten years. In 2017 15.2% of overall collected postconsumer bottles were exported from the United States. HDPE exports fell in 2017 compared to 2016, to 13.4% of collected material. PET exports fell in both absolute tonnage and percentage of material collected, 16.4%, compared to 2016, and were below values since at least 2005. The export of recycled PP bottles fell in 2017 to 8.6% of that collected. The PP bottle exports were in mixed rigid bales, not discrete bales of PP bottles. A primary reason for diminished exports was China’s National Sword program, which increased inspections and seized some recycled material going into China beginning in February 2017. China’s previous enhanced inspection program, Operation Green Fence, began in 2013.

The processing of recycled PET bottle material, sourced domestically and imported, rose in 2017 over 2016 by 59 million pounds as pounds not exported exceeded the pounds not collected. The processing of recycled HDPE, sourced domestically and imported, fell by 31 million pounds in 2017 compared to 2016. The processing of recycled PP bottles, sourced domestically and imported, decreased by 0.8 million pounds in 2017 over 2016.

- Bottle resin use per capita fell 3.2% in 2017. The high growth rate seen before the 2008 recession has been replaced by a plateauing growth rate due to continual light weighting and buyer decisions.
- Single stream collection of household recyclables continued growth as it has for many years, generally resulting in higher overall household participation rates and more challenges from contaminated bales of bottles with bale yields as dismal as in recent years. MRF operations for other materials, especially paper, were adversely affected by reduced exports to Asia. Tension in the reclamation industry continued with increased share of material from single stream collection and more challenging processing requirements. As a continuing example, sleeve labels on PET bottles
added to poor bale yields. Conversely, PET thermoforms continued to represent a growing opportunity for additional raw material for recycling processing.

- California Container Redemption Value redemption centers collected not only PET, but also HDPE, PP, PVC, LDPE bottles and “OTHER” bottles.
- Plastic bottle recycling continues to be an international business with US-based reclaimers competing effectively in 2017 as they did in 2016, keeping more domestically-generated material in the United States at least on a percentage basis.
- Active “all bottle” collection, which takes all bottles regardless of resin identification number, continued the collection of LDPE and PVC bottles, although the tonnage continues to be small. We see a small amount of “#7, OTHER”, bottles collected, but we do not have data for the denominators of those bottles. The LDPE and PVC bottles were usually exported as part of mixed bales.

The plastic bottle resins, as identified by their SPI/ASTM resin identification codes, are:

![Plastic Resin Identification Codes](image)

Source: More Recycling 2018

PET and HDPE bottles comprise 97.0% of the United States plastic bottle market with PP at 1.9% of plastic bottles produced and with LDPE at 0.7% of plastic bottles and PVC at 0.3% of plastic bottles. Together, PET and HDPE are 98.8% of the bottles recycled with PP bottles constituting 1.1% of plastic bottles recycled. Some PP bottles are included with pigmented HDPE bottles for recycling, about 26% of all PP collected. An allowance, based on buyer reports and bale audits, has been included to account for those PP bottles in this report to more properly represent the PP bottles recycled, although not available as discrete PP bottles for recycling.

Although bottles made with the #3 through #7 resins are recyclable, and to varying degrees are recycled, the deliberate recycling of those resin bottles is limited by the continuing challenge to reach a critical mass of readily recognizable bottles for economical collection and processing. However, it should be noted that bottles made from resins #3 through #7 make up just 3% of the plastic bottle market.

Finally, bottles coded with “#7, OTHER” are included in this report as a discrete category, but are not included in the total for TOTAL BOTTLES shown on Table 1. Bottles coded #7 may include, among others, HDPE or PET or PP resins with barrier layer materials. These bottles are often recycled with the primary resins used in each container. Bottles coded #7 may also be made from resins other than those listed above, such as polycarbonate. No information is available for the denominator for “#7, OTHER”.
### Table 1

#### Postconsumer Plastics Bottles Recycled in Calendar Year 2017 Compared to Calendar Year 2016 Results [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET [4]</td>
<td>1753</td>
<td>6172</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>5913</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPE Natural</td>
<td>462.1</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>473.8</td>
<td>1541</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPE Pigmented</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>568.0</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HDPE Bottles</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>3328</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>1041.8</td>
<td>3347</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC [5]</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDPE [5]</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP [6]</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other [7]</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BOTTLES</td>
<td>2906</td>
<td>9786</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>9543</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] These data provide a snapshot of plastic bottle recycling collection trends from the national perspective. The data are useful in identifying national trends and highlighting changes that have occurred from year to year, and may be a useful tool for planning purposes. While national data may be useful as a comparison with local waste characterization and recycling data, significant differences will likely exist from locality to locality, and from state to state. If communities or states are making decisions where precise knowledge of the amount, composition and disposition of MSW is crucial, e.g., where a solid waste management facility is being designed, or for local or state regulatory enforcement, etc., then local characterization of the quantities of individual components generated, recycled and disposed is essential.

[2] Data are based on surveys performed by More Recycling and include bale composition data provided by More Recycling and others.

[3] Based on data provided by the American Chemistry Council’s Plastics Industry Producers Statistics Group. HDPE resin sales include both the virgin and recycled plastic pounds used to produce new bottles. Imports from non-ACC members are not included.


[5] The majority of PVC and LDPE recycled were as part of commingled bottle and container bales.

[6] About 3% of polypropylene bottles were deliberately collected for recycling as polypropylene bottles and not mixed material.

[7] Limited data for bottles of other resins are shown. Such material was sold as part of mixed export bale. No denominator values are available. Because of the lack of denominator, the bottles in the “OTHER” category are not included in the TOTAL BOTTLE sum.

The 2017 PET bottle denominator decreased by 259 million pounds or -4.2% to 5,913 million pounds compared to the 2016 value in part due to the bankruptcy of M&G Polymers USA, a major virgin resin supplier. The 2017 PET bottle numerator, not including thermoforms, decreased by 27 million pounds to 1,726 million pounds collected. Many natural homopolymer HDPE milk bottles are pigmented, the usual visual indicator of the use of copolymer, and those bottles are included in the usually pigmented copolymer bottles. The split for recycled HDPE between natural HDPE (presumed to all be homopolymer) and pigmented HDPE (usually presumed to be copolymer) was based on buyer estimates. The “Total HDPE Bottles” values on Table 1 are likely more accurate numbers. In comparison with 2016, the 2017 HDPE denominator (i.e. bottles in the market place) rose by 19 million pounds, or 0.6%. In a tough economic environment, even slight gains have an effect as more pounds of plastic used mean more opportunity to gain economies of scale. The HDPE numerator (i.e. bottles collected for recycling) decreased by 70.3 million pounds, or -6.3%. The collection rate for HDPE bottle recycling fell in 2017 to 31.1% versus 2016 at 33.4% with fewer pounds recycled and the denominator rising. The natural HDPE recycling rate, as defined rose slightly in 2017 while the pigmented HDPE recycling rates, as
defined above, fell in 2017 versus 2016. Overall, HDPE bottle recycling saw a decrease in pounds collected for recycling.

About 4.3% of the total #2 through #7 bottles collected was part of commingled bottles bales. For HDPE bottles the contribution from commingled bottles bales and mixed rigid bales was about 2.2% of the total HDPE bottles collected in 2017. For PP bottles the contribution from commingled bottles bales and mixed rigid bales was about 70% of the total bottles collected. For PVC bottles the contribution from commingled bottles bales and mixed rigid bales was about 100% of the total bottles collected. For LDPE bottles the contribution from commingled bottles bales and mixed rigid bales was about 100% of the total bottles collected.

Domestic processing of postconsumer PP bottles totaled 28.9 million pounds, a 0.77 million pound or 3% decrease from 2016. PP recycling collection saw a 5.5 million pound decrease in collected material and a steady usage of PP for initial bottles, resulting in a decrease in the collection rate for recycling to 17.2% from the 2016 collection rate of 20.2%. Exports of PP bottles as part of mixed bales decreased significantly, dropping from 20.6% in 2016 to 8.6% in 2017.

In addition to bottles, PP from injection molded closures was also recycled, but that amount is not part of this report on bottles. For information on PP from injection molded closures, please refer to More Recycling’s Non-Bottle Rigid Plastic Recycling Annual Reports.

![Figure 1](image-url)
As shown in Figure 1 the total pounds of postconsumer bottles collected for recycling in 2017 was 2,800 million pounds for #1 through #6 plastic bottles. The change from 2016 was a decrease of 106 million pounds of recycled bottles, or a decrease of 3.6%. This happened with decrease in plastic bottle resin usage and the real GDP growth of 2.3% for 2017.

**Bottle Resin Sales**

The denominator used to calculate the recycling rate is composed of both virgin resin and recycled resin used for bottle making.

Plastic bottle light-weighting continued to occur for all bottle resins. Light-weighting helps companies to meet economic and sustainability goals and is a relentless force in bottle making. Many HDPE bottle applications are using product concentrates which means an increasing number of smaller bottles or fewer bottles made for the total number of uses, such as laundry loads. Recycling is denominated by weight and reduced weight per container adversely affects recycling economics.

The change in total resins used to make bottles resulted in a decrease of 242 million pounds, or a decrease in bottle polymer production of 2.5%. Use of HDPE to make bottles increased by 19 million pounds, or 0.6%, up from the amount used in 2016. Use of PET to make bottles decreased by 259 million pounds, or -4.2%. Some part of the decrease is likely due to the disruption caused by the bankruptcy of a major virgin PET supplier, M&G Polymers USA, and shut down of virgin resin production facilities. The 2017 use of 9,543 million pounds of #1-#6 resins for bottles is about the 2015 usage level.
It is vital for the growth of plastic bottle recycling that bottles are present in the marketplace and consumers appropriately recycle used bottles. The pounds of material in bottles used by consumers shown in Figure 2 include recycled content. Without available pounds of recycled material to be industrial feedstock, plastics recycling may grow in recycling rate, but not in the tons needed for a robust industry. Inability to secure wanted feedstocks has increased reclaimers interest in additional resins and non-bottle items such as PET thermoforms for PET recycling.

Figure 3 shows the United States per capita consumption for plastic bottles since 2000. In 2017 the per capita consumption of bottle resins, virgin and recycled plastic, fell 3.2% compared to 2016 but was near the 2010-2015 average. This chart shows that use of plastic bottles for more applications is offset by the continuing lightweighting and use of product concentrates with smaller, lighter bottles or conversion to other packaging. The very slow growth in per capita consumption of plastics for bottles signals that growth in supply of bottles must come from more effective collection, not just relying on per capita consumption growth.
Figure 3
USA Per Capita Consumption of Plastic Bottles

Figure 4 displays the annual quantities of PET, HDPE, and combined PET and HDPE bottle resin processed for recycling in the United States. Other, non-bottle, rigid plastic packaging is not included in the figure.
Figure 5 shows PET and HDPE continued to dominate as selected resins to produce plastic bottles: 97.0% by weight of produced bottles were made of PET or HDPE. PET and HDPE bottles also continued to dominate the bottles collected for recycling, collectively at 98.8% and PP at 1.1% of the total bottles recycled.

**Figure 5**
2017 Plastic Bottles Recycled and Plastic Bottle Production by Resin

![Pie charts showing plastic bottle production and recycling by resin type.]

Source: More Recycling, 2017. NAPCOR, 2017

**Barriers to Increased Plastic Bottle Recycling**

As noted for 2005 through 2016, one barrier to plastic bottle recycling is that too many consumers continue to be unaware of the significant usefulness, demand, and value of recycled plastic including HDPE and PET and PP. Data and experience show that plastic bottle recycling can be increased through sustained local education campaigns. Municipalities also need to understand that they can benefit from the sale of bales of bottles, including revenue sharing to fund educational programs and other costs of collection. Consumer confusion about which items are to be recycled is cited in surveys about consumer attitudes. Clear instructions and ongoing education are necessary to maximize collection rates.

Another barrier to increased recycling is lack of sufficient convenient access to recycling collection opportunities for products used away from home. Consumers respond to additional opportunities to be able to recycle such as at public venues, offices, recreational sites, schools, and retail establishments. In a time of fluctuating commodity prices, which include plastic recyclables, MRF’s that would process the entire municipal waste stream for recyclables, not just a collected stream of recyclable packaging, are still being considered.

The fluctuating crude oil and natural gas byproduct prices had an impact on postconsumer plastics in 2017 as it did in 2016. Crude oil prices rose and fell throughout the year while natural gas liquids prices rose over 2016 levels. The cost of petroleum and petrochemicals impacted the price of virgin plastics.
and that challenged the competitiveness of postconsumer plastic. Crude oil prices and natural gas liquids prices affect raw material cost for PET, HDPE, and PP.

The Chinese government limitations on imports of used plastic slowed down the flow of recyclable plastics directly to China by the end of 2017, disrupting established logistic flows. Exports to other Asian entities increased, but not enough to offset the Chinese restriction. The strong negative effect of the Chinese limitations on imports disrupted total operations in many USA MRFs.

With the continuing influence of four major factors: the increase in single stream collection of recyclables at household residences, the increased interest to collect more than bottles, the overall reduced quantity of export material, and the extreme economic pressure on the bale suppliers, the quality of available postconsumer bottle material to U.S. reclaimers dropped for the third consecutive year for HDPE. For PET with an increasing variety of packaging applications, the quality of bales as reflected by bale yields, continued to be a challenge. Use of the APR Design® Guide by packaging designers can help reduce economic and technical barriers to plastic bottle recycling.

**HDPE Reclamation Industry Update – Reclaimers’ Reporting**

- The number of HDPE reclaimers reporting decreased in 2017 as compared to 2016 with 25 companies active at year’s end, the same as in 2014. The number of smaller companies may vary year-to-year as industrial scrap companies change their business plans and start-ups, shut-downs, and acquisitions continue.
- The amount of HDPE reported processed by the survey of United States HDPE reclaimers fell by 42 million pounds to 950.4 million pounds. While HDPE recycled bottle domestic collection decreased slightly compared to 2016, exports decreased and imports decreased to account for the lesser amount of HDPE bottles processed. This value, 950.4 million pounds processed, is slightly different than the 952.9 million pounds of postconsumer HDPE bottles purchased. The processed value reflects inclusion of other pedigree HDPE such as post commercial material in pounds processed and individual company experiences with mixed bales.
- Eight larger companies, defined as those processing over 30 million pounds annually, processed 84% of the HDPE processed with a very slight net annual decrease in the pounds processed.
- The mid-sized companies dropped in numbers to six in 2017 and the amount processed in 2017 decreased compared to the amount processed in 2016. Small companies, processing less than 10 million pounds annually, decreased in number and decreased in the amount processed compared to 2016.
- A net four HDPE reclaimers went out of business. Those four had been processing 10 million pounds annually or less. Several shifted focus related to feedstocks and reallocated resources.
2017 HDPE Bottle Reclaimers survey
Total Pounds = 950.4 million
Total Companies = 25

Company Size Classification
(Millions of pounds bottles processed from all sources)

Note: Capacity may also be used for non-bottle HDPE processing.

Figure 6
Size Comparison of Domestic Reclaimers of HDPE Bottles

Figure 7
HDPE Bottle Wash Capacity in the U.S.

The figures shown above are estimates and should not be used for business planning purposes. Utilized capacity includes postconsumer material quantities processed domestically only. Capacity is based on 24 hours per day and 365 days per year.
The capacity utilization is shown for the given conditions of hours worked. The capacity utilization for HDPE bottle reclamation, as calculated, rose slightly to 67% for 2017 as compared to 66% in 2016. Production capacity decreased and less production occurred with a net slight increase in utilization percentage. The HDPE reclaimers continue to use assets to process non-bottle postconsumer HDPE and PP from varying sources. The total utilized capacity for HDPE bottles fell in 2017 to 953 million pounds, compared to 984 million pounds in 2016. The overall USA industry capacity, as calculated, decreased to 1,418 million pounds of HDPE postconsumer reclamation capacity.

As reported, USA PET reclamation capacity utilization was about 71%, down from 2016. While more recycled material being processed due to imports, non-bottle PET, and reduced exports, operating capacity was higher than in 2016. USA PET reclaimers processed 80 million more total pounds of recycled PET in 2017 than in 2016 for the second highest year ever.

**Export and Import Markets**
Postconsumer bottles are a valuable commodity and are traded globally. Purchases of USA postconsumer bottles for export continued in 2017. Postconsumer plastic was exported out of the United States as bales of PET, PP, and HDPE bottles; bales of commingled bottles and containers; mixed rigid container bales; and unwashed flake material. A total of 15.2% of collected plastic bottle material of all types was exported in 2017, 426 million pounds, compared to 20.1% or 528.8 million pounds in 2016. For context, the exports exceeded 28% of bottles collected in 2012 and before.

![Exports of Postconsumer Bottles From the United States](chart.png)
For United States-collected HDPE bottle material, 140 million pounds were exported. This amount represented 13.4% of the total HDPE bottle material collected domestically, a decrease of 54 million pounds since 2016. Of those exported pounds, 46% went to Canada. The trade in bales is not one-sided. USA HDPE reclaimers imported 51 million pounds in 2017, down 22% from 65 million pounds of postconsumer HDPE bottle bales imported in 2016. The imported pounds of postconsumer resin are not included in the totals of pounds collected in the USA and are not part of the totals on Table 1.

2017 PET exports totaled 16.4% of the total PET bottles collected with most exports going to China and Hong Kong, although the flow to both reduced drastically over the year. Turkey and Viet Nam also bought USA PET bales. This percentage, 16.4%, is less than the experience in 2016, when 21.6% of the United States-collected PET was exported. The Chinese “National Sword” initiative continued to slow imports into China of postconsumer baled bottles in 2017 as the flow to Hong Kong also dropped. Exports to other Asian destinations rose, but did not offset the decrease to China and Hong Kong. World exports of PET from the United States were down 25.2% in 2017 compared to 2016.

The exports for PP bottles fell in 2017 from 7.6 in 2016 to 2.7 million pounds, most as part of mixed bales. The PP exports fell from 21% in 2016 to 9% in 2017 for bottle material. 23% of PVC bottles was exported, a total of 0.2 million pounds. 40% of LDPE bottles was exported, a total of 0.3 million pounds, mostly in bales of rigid containers. These quantity values reflect updated bale content analyses. 58% of OTHER bottle collected materials was exported.

**End Use Markets for Recycled Plastics in 2017**

Per the annual survey of postconsumer reclaimers:

- Natural HDPE postconsumer recycled resin’s primary markets continued to be for non-food application bottles, such as for detergent, motor oil, household cleaners, etc. and for film.
- Pigmented HDPE postconsumer recycled resin’s markets continued to include pipe, lawn products, and non-food application bottles.
- Plastic lumber continued to consume a broad range of materials including recycled HDPE, LDPE, mixed rigid containers, and wide-specification virgin resin.
- Postconsumer polypropylene bottles uses were not reported for 2017. Anecdotal reports suggest the uses were the same as reported in 2014: pallets, crates, and buckets.
There was some change in the recycled postconsumer HDPE end use markets in 2017 compared to 2016, with new bottles a major use, gaining in tonnage and pipe applications declining in tons and percentage. On a percentage basis and tons basis automotive uses along with plastic lumber, decking, and railroad ties and lawn and garden uses decreased. The tons for ‘other’ were for such as toys, rotomoldings, housewares, and traffic barricades. Other listings also include unspecified markets. End use markets and usage of material in those markets are as reported by the survey of reclaimers.

The reported yield of postconsumer HDPE bottles to clean product ranged from low-70s to low-90 percentages, depending on raw material and end use. The average of reported yield values of bales to clean HDPE pellets in 2017 was 78.9%, compared to 79.1% in 2016 and 80.6% long term. For PET, the bale yields varied from upper- 60s to upper-80s percentages depending on source of bottles. The yield situation is different for recycling HDPE and PET bottles. For PET bottles, the labels are not recovered as PET while for HDPE bottles labels may be recovered as HDPE. Contamination in bales of HDPE bottles and PET bottles continued to present an ongoing challenge to reclaimers.

**Economic Impact**

The estimated value of purchased bales of postconsumer bottles of PET and of HDPE in 2017 was approximately 472 million dollars compared to 423 million dollars in 2016 due to price, not quantity.
**Additional Information**

ACC’s Plastics Division represents the leading U.S. manufacturers of plastic resins. ACC offers resources to communities that wish to increase postconsumer plastic collection, including some targeted specifically at bottles and rigid plastics, as well as others focusing on plastic films, bags and wraps, and applications such as mattresses that are outside the scope of this Report. A database for the recycling of clean plastic film and grocery/retail bags is provided at [www.plasticfilmrecycling.org](http://www.plasticfilmrecycling.org). Additional resources on plastic recycling can be found at [www.recycleyourplastics.org](http://www.recycleyourplastics.org).

The APR continuously works to develop a wide range of resources available online including lists of buyers and sellers of recycled plastic, model bale specifications and bale gradings, resources to support recycling rigid plastics beyond bottles, a plastics recycling blog, programs to increase demand for recycled plastics, reports, news and highlights, and yearly educational plastics recycling webinar series. The APR Design® Guide for Plastics Recyclability is the most comprehensive industry tool to evaluate the compatibility of plastic packaging features with the current recycling infrastructure and capabilities. Beyond the design guidelines a variety of resources are available to supplement the information including testing protocols and recognition programs. The APR Design® Guide for Plastics Recyclability Training Program brings these resources directly to brand owners and their packaging professionals through customized sessions designed to meet the specific needs of each company. Visit [www.plasticsrecycling.org](http://www.plasticsrecycling.org) for more information. APR, with support provided from the plastics industry through the American Chemistry Council, conducted programs for municipal recycling coordinators to educate them on the existing markets for baled bottles, the strong demand for goods, quality considerations, and suggestions for householder education.

More Recycling, supported by ACC, APR, and Resource Recycling, manages [www.plasticsmarkets.org](http://www.plasticsmarkets.org), a database of buyers and sellers of recycled plastic, open to all market participants. The website also provides other useful information, such as historical scrap prices.

NAPCOR provides additional information about PET at its website, [www.NAPCOR.com](http://www.NAPCOR.com).

**Legal Notice**

The 2017 United States National Post Consumer Plastics Bottle Recycling Report has been prepared to provide helpful ideas and information for parties interested in recycling plastics. Facilities developing a recycling process and all entities involved in the chain of collection, processing, distribution, and sale of recycled products have an independent obligation to ascertain that their plans, actions, and practices meet all relevant laws and represent sound business practices for their particular operations. Facilities may vary their approach with respect to particular operations, products, or locations based on specific factual circumstances, the practicability and effectiveness of particular actions and economic and technological feasibilities. This report is not designed or intended to define or create legal rights or obligations. Although the information contained in this document has been produced and processed from sources believed to be reliable, no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the accuracy, adequacy, completeness, legality, reliability or usefulness of any information, and this information is provided on an "as is" basis. **NO WARRANTIES ARE GIVEN; ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE EXPRESSLY EXCLUDED.** Neither ACC nor APR assumes any liability of any kind whatsoever resulting from the use of or reliance upon any information, conclusions, or options contained herein.
The Association of Plastic Recyclers and the Plastics Division of the American Chemistry Council provided funding for this report.

The United States National Postconsumer Plastics Bottle Recycling Report is published by the Association of Plastic Recyclers and the Plastics Division of the American Chemistry Council.